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## Motivation

On a 7-dimensional Riemannian manifold $M$ :
What is the best $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure among some class?

- Among the all $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures, it is the torsion free $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure, since it corresponds with metrics with holonomy in $\mathrm{G}_{2}$.
- Depending on the geometry/topology of $M$, the existence of torsion free a $G_{2}$-structure is trivial or obstructed.
- Sometimes is convenient to consider a weaker torsion condition. For instance, when $M$ is a homogeneous space.
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## $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures and their torsion

A $G_{2}$-structure on a 7-manifold $M$ is given by a differential 3-form $\varphi$ on $M$, which is pointwise isomorphic to the 3 -form

$$
\varphi_{0}=e^{123}+e^{145}+e^{167}+e^{246}-e^{257}-e^{347}-e^{356} \in \Lambda^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)^{*}
$$

where $e^{i j k}=e^{i} \wedge e^{j} \wedge e^{k}$ and $\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)^{*}=\left\langle\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{7}\right\}\right\rangle$.
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Hence, $\varphi$ induces also:

- A Riemannian connection $\nabla_{\varphi}$, a Hodge star operator $*_{\varphi}$, a dual 4-form $*_{\varphi} \varphi$. And $(M, \varphi)$ is called a $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-manifold when:

$$
\left.\nabla_{\varphi} \varphi=0 \quad \text { (i.e. } \quad \operatorname{Hol}\left(g_{\varphi}\right) \subseteq \mathrm{G}_{2}\right)
$$
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The intrinsic torsion $\nabla \varphi$ is completely encoded by the full torsion tensor $T$ :

$$
\nabla_{a} \varphi_{b c d}=T_{a}^{\prime}(* \varphi)_{l b c d} \quad \text { where } \quad T \in \operatorname{End}(T M)
$$

According to the decomposition of $\mathcal{W}:=\operatorname{End}\left(T_{p} M\right)$ into $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-irreducible submodules ([Fernández-Gray, 1982])

$$
\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}
$$

Where

$$
\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3} \simeq \operatorname{sym}\left(T_{p} M\right)=\left[g_{p}\right] \oplus \operatorname{sym}_{0}\left(T_{p} M\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4} \simeq \mathfrak{s o}\left(T_{p} M\right)=\mathfrak{g}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{7}
$$

$T$ splits into $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-irreducible components [Karigiannis, 2008]:
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$$

where $\left.\left.\jmath\left(\tau_{3}\right)_{i j}=*\left(e_{i}\right\lrcorner \varphi \wedge e_{j}\right\lrcorner \varphi \wedge \tau_{3}\right)$ and $\tau_{k} \in \Omega^{k}$ (for $\left.k=0,1,2,3\right)$ are called the torsion forms, and defined by:

$$
d \varphi=\tau_{0} * \varphi+3 \tau_{1} \wedge \varphi+* \tau_{3} \quad \text { and } \quad d * \varphi=4 \tau_{1} \wedge * \varphi+\tau_{2} \wedge \varphi .
$$

$T$ splits into $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-irreducible components [Karigiannis, 2008]:

$$
T=\frac{\tau_{0}}{4} g-\frac{1}{2} \tau_{2}-\frac{1}{4} \jmath\left(\tau_{3}\right)-*\left(\tau_{1} \wedge * \varphi\right) \in \mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}
$$

where $\left.\left.\jmath\left(\tau_{3}\right)_{i j}=*\left(e_{i}\right\lrcorner \varphi \wedge e_{j}\right\lrcorner \varphi \wedge \tau_{3}\right)$ and $\tau_{k} \in \Omega^{k}$ (for $\left.k=0,1,2,3\right)$ are called the torsion forms, and defined by:

$$
d \varphi=\tau_{0} * \varphi+3 \tau_{1} \wedge \varphi+* \tau_{3} \quad \text { and } \quad d * \varphi=4 \tau_{1} \wedge * \varphi+\tau_{2} \wedge \varphi .
$$

In total, there are 16 -torsion classes, for instance:

- $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ is the class of nearly parallel $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures.
- $\mathcal{W}_{4}$ is the class of locally conformal parallel $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures.
- $\mathcal{W}_{2}$ is the class of closed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures.
- $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3}$ is the class of coclosed $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures.
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Thus the critical points are

$$
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## Definition

A $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure $\varphi$ is called harmonic (divergence free) if div $T=0$.

## Previous results

- The $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure is harmonic if it has one of the following torsion [Grigorian, 2019]:
(i) $\tau_{0}$ is constant, $\tau_{1}=0$ and arbitrary $\tau_{2}$ and $\tau_{3}$.
(ii) $\tau_{0}=\tau_{2}=\tau_{3}=0$ and $\tau_{1}$ arbitrary.
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- Spinorial description of the harmonic condition [Niedzialomski, 2020].
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- By unit octonion sections [Grigorian, 2019].
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- Evolving the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure $\varphi$ [Dwivedi-Gianniotis-Karigiannis, 2019]. And recently, evolving the tensor field associated with the H -structure [Fadel-Loubeau-M.-Sá Earp, 2022].
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Consider the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure and the corresponding dual 4-form

$$
\varphi=\omega \wedge e^{7}+\rho^{+} \quad \text { and } \quad * \varphi=\frac{\omega^{2}}{2}+\rho^{-} \wedge e^{7}
$$

where $\omega, \rho_{+}$and $\rho^{-}=*_{\mathfrak{h}} \rho^{+}$are a $\operatorname{SU}(3)$-structure on $\mathfrak{h} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{6}$.
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The aim: To describe $\operatorname{div} T=0$ in terms of $A$.
Consider the splitting

$$
\mathfrak{g l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{6}\right)=\mathbb{R} \cdot I_{6} \oplus \operatorname{sym}_{+}^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{6}\right) \oplus \operatorname{sym}_{-}^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{6}\right) \oplus \mathbb{R} \cdot J \oplus \mathfrak{s u}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{m}
$$

where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rlrl}
\operatorname{sym}_{+}^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{6}\right) & =\left\{A \in \mathfrak{g l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{6}\right) ;\right. & & A^{t}=A, \quad \operatorname{tr}(A)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad J A=A J
\end{array}\right\}
$$

For $A=S(A)+C(A) \in \mathfrak{g l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{6}\right)$, we have

$$
A=\frac{\operatorname{tr}(A)}{6} I_{6}+S_{+}(A)+S_{-}(A)+\frac{\operatorname{tr}(J A)}{6} J+C_{+}(A)+C_{-}(A),
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For any $k$-form $\gamma \in \Lambda^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{6}\right)^{*}$, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{6}\right)$ acts by

$$
\theta(A) \gamma=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0}\left(e^{-A t}\right)^{*} \gamma
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In particular, for $\omega \in \Lambda^{2}$ it satisfies

$$
\theta(A) \omega=\frac{\operatorname{tr}(A)}{2} \omega+\theta\left(S_{+}(A)\right) \omega+\theta\left(C_{-}(A)\right) \omega \in \Lambda_{1}^{2} \oplus \Lambda_{8}^{2} \oplus \Lambda_{6}^{2}
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## The torsion classes on $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{A}, \varphi\right)$

## Lemma

The 1-form $\alpha=-*_{\mathfrak{h}}\left(\theta\left(A^{t}\right) \omega \wedge \rho^{-}\right)$on $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ satisfies the identity $\left.\alpha^{\sharp}\right\lrcorner \rho_{+}=-4 J C_{-}(A)$ and

$$
\alpha=0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad C_{-}(A)=0 .
$$

Using the expressions $d \varphi=\tau_{0} \psi+3 \tau_{1} \wedge \varphi+* \tau_{3}$ and $d \psi=4 \tau_{1} \wedge \psi+\tau_{2} \wedge \varphi$, we obtain:

## Proposition (M. 2022)

The torsion forms of $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{A}, \varphi\right)$ are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{0}=\frac{2}{7} \operatorname{tr}(J A), \quad \frac{1}{4} \jmath\left(\tau_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{14} \operatorname{tr}(J A) I_{6}-J S_{-}(A)+\frac{1}{4} J \alpha^{\sharp} \odot e^{7}-\frac{3}{7} \operatorname{tr}(J A) e^{7} \otimes e^{7}, \\
& \tau_{1}=\frac{1}{12} \alpha-\frac{1}{6} \operatorname{tr}(A) e^{7} \quad \tau_{2}=\frac{2}{3} J C_{-}(A)-2 J S_{+}(A)-\frac{1}{3} J \alpha^{\sharp} \wedge e^{7} .
\end{aligned}
$$

| Class | Vanishing torsion | Bracket relation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{W}=\{0\}$ | $\tau_{0}=0, \tau_{1}=0, \tau_{2}=0, \tau_{3}=0$ | $A \in \mathfrak{s u}(3)$ |
| $\mathcal{W}_{4}$ | $\tau_{0}=0, \tau_{2}=0, \tau_{3}=0$ | $A \in \mathbb{R} \cdot I_{6} \oplus \mathfrak{s u}(3)$ |
| $\mathcal{W}_{2}$ | $\tau_{0}=0, \tau_{1}=0, \tau_{3}=0$ | $A \in \operatorname{sym}_{+}^{0} \oplus \mathfrak{s u}(3)$ |
| $\mathcal{W}_{3}$ | $\tau_{0}=0, \tau_{1}=0, \tau_{2}=0$ | $A \in \operatorname{sym}_{-}^{0} \oplus \mathfrak{s u}(3)$ |
| $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3}$ | $\tau_{1}=0, \tau_{2}=0$ | $A \in \operatorname{sym}_{-}^{0} \oplus \mathbb{R} \cdot J \oplus \mathfrak{s u}(3)$ |
| $\mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}$ | $\tau_{0}=0, \tau_{3}=0$ | $A \in \mathbb{R} \cdot I_{6} \oplus \operatorname{sym}_{+}^{0} \oplus \mathfrak{s u}(3)$ |
| $\mathcal{W}_{3} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}$ | $\tau_{0}=0, \tau_{2}=0$ | $A \in \mathbb{R} \cdot I_{6} \oplus \operatorname{sym}_{-}^{0} \oplus \mathfrak{s u}(3)$ |
| $\mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3}$ | $\tau_{0}=0, \tau_{1}=0$ | $A \in \operatorname{sym}_{+}^{0} \oplus \operatorname{sym}_{-}^{0} \oplus \mathfrak{s u}(3)$ |
| $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}$ | $\tau_{2}=0$ | $A \in \mathbb{R} \cdot I_{6} \oplus \operatorname{sym}_{-}^{0} \oplus \mathbb{R} \cdot J \oplus \mathfrak{s u}(3)$ |
| $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3}$ | $\tau_{1}=0$ | $A \in \operatorname{sym}_{+}^{0} \oplus \operatorname{sym}_{-}^{0} \oplus \mathbb{R} \cdot J \oplus \mathfrak{s u}(3)$ |
| $\mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}$ | $\tau_{0}=0$ | $A \in{\operatorname{sym}\left(\mathbb{R}^{6}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{s u}(3)}_{\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}} \quad$ No vanishing condition |

Table: Torsion classes of $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{A}, \varphi\right)$ [M. 2022]

From the torsion formulae:

- The Ricci curvature is $\operatorname{Ric}_{A}=\frac{1}{2}\left[A, A^{t}\right]-\operatorname{tr}(A) S(A)-\operatorname{tr}\left(S(A)^{2}\right) e^{7} \otimes e^{7}$ [Arroyo, 2013]. The Lie algebra $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{A}, \varphi\right)$ does not induce an Einstein metric if $\left.e_{7}\right\lrcorner \tau_{1}=0$.
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(ii) $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{2}$ (If $M$ is connected this class reduces to either $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ or $\mathcal{W}_{2}$ [Martin Cabrera-Monar-Swann, 1996])

From the torsion formulae:

- The Ricci curvature is $\operatorname{Ric}_{A}=\frac{1}{2}\left[A, A^{t}\right]-\operatorname{tr}(A) S(A)-\operatorname{tr}\left(S(A)^{2}\right) e^{7} \otimes e^{7}$ [Arroyo, 2013]. The Lie algebra $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{A}, \varphi\right)$ does not induce an Einstein metric if $\left.e_{7}\right\lrcorner \tau_{1}=0$.
- Notice that $\tau_{3}=0$ implies $\tau_{0}=0$. There does not exist an $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{A}, \varphi\right)$ with torsion strictly in one of the following classes:
(i) $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ (in this class $\operatorname{Scal}(g)=\frac{28}{9} \tau_{0}^{2}$, but $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{A}, g\right)$ is either flat or Scal $(g)<0$ [Milnor, 1976]).
(ii) $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{2}$ (If $M$ is connected this class reduces to either $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ or $\mathcal{W}_{2}$ [Martin Cabrera-Monar-Swann, 1996])
(iii) $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}$ (If $\varphi$ is invariant then $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}$ reduces to either $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ or $\mathcal{W}_{4}$ ).

From the torsion formulae:

- The Ricci curvature is $\operatorname{Ric}_{A}=\frac{1}{2}\left[A, A^{t}\right]-\operatorname{tr}(A) S(A)-\operatorname{tr}\left(S(A)^{2}\right) e^{7} \otimes e^{7}$ [Arroyo, 2013]. The Lie algebra $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{A}, \varphi\right)$ does not induce an Einstein metric if $\left.e_{7}\right\lrcorner \tau_{1}=0$.
- Notice that $\tau_{3}=0$ implies $\tau_{0}=0$. There does not exist an $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{A}, \varphi\right)$ with torsion strictly in one of the following classes:
(i) $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ (in this class $\operatorname{Scal}(g)=\frac{28}{9} \tau_{0}^{2}$, but $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{A}, g\right)$ is either flat or Scal $(g)<0$ [Milnor, 1976]).
(ii) $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{2}$ (If $M$ is connected this class reduces to either $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ or $\mathcal{W}_{2}$ [Martin Cabrera-Monar-Swann, 1996])
(iii) $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}$ (If $\varphi$ is invariant then $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}$ reduces to either $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ or $\mathcal{W}_{4}$ ).
(iv) $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}(\ldots$ ? $)$

From the torsion formulae:

- The Ricci curvature is $\operatorname{Ric}_{A}=\frac{1}{2}\left[A, A^{t}\right]-\operatorname{tr}(A) S(A)-\operatorname{tr}\left(S(A)^{2}\right) e^{7} \otimes e^{7}$ [Arroyo, 2013]. The Lie algebra $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{A}, \varphi\right)$ does not induce an Einstein metric if $\left.e_{7}\right\lrcorner \tau_{1}=0$.
- Notice that $\tau_{3}=0$ implies $\tau_{0}=0$. There does not exist an $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{A}, \varphi\right)$ with torsion strictly in one of the following classes:
(i) $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ (in this class Scal $(g)=\frac{28}{9} \tau_{0}^{2}$, but $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{A}, g\right)$ is either flat or Scal $(g)<0$ [Milnor, 1976]).
(ii) $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{2}$ (If $M$ is connected this class reduces to either $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ or $\mathcal{W}_{2}$ [Martin Cabrera-Monar-Swann, 1996])
(iii) $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}$ (If $\varphi$ is invariant then $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}$ reduces to either $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ or $\mathcal{W}_{4}$ ).
(iv) $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}(\ldots$ ? $)$
- The closed case

$$
\tau_{0}=0 \quad \tau_{1}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \tau_{3}=0 \Leftrightarrow A=S_{+}(A)+C_{+}(A) \in \mathfrak{s l}\left(\mathbb{C}^{3}\right)
$$

The coclosed case

$$
\tau_{2}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \tau_{1}=0 \Leftrightarrow A=S_{-}(A)+\frac{\operatorname{tr}(J A)}{6} J+C_{+}(A) \in \mathfrak{s p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{6}\right)
$$

## Definition

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is called a unimodular Lie algebra if $\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{ad}(u))=0$ for every $u \in \mathfrak{g}$. A lattice $\Gamma$ of a Lie group $G$ is a discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset G$, such that the quotient $\Gamma \backslash G$ is compact.

## Definition

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is called a unimodular Lie algebra if $\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{ad}(u))=0$ for every $u \in \mathfrak{g}$. A lattice $\Gamma$ of a Lie group $G$ is a discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset G$, such that the quotient $\Gamma \backslash G$ is compact.

If the Lie group $G$ admits a lattice then it is unimodular [Milnor, 1976].

## Definition

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is called a unimodular Lie algebra if $\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{ad}(u))=0$ for every $u \in \mathfrak{g}$. A lattice $\Gamma$ of a Lie group $G$ is a discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset G$, such that the quotient $\Gamma \backslash G$ is compact.

If the Lie group $G$ admits a lattice then it is unimodular [Milnor, 1976].

| Class | Vanishing torsion | Bracket relation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{W}=\{0\}$ | $\tau_{0}=0, \tau_{1}=0, \tau_{2}=0, \tau_{3}=0$ | $A \in \mathfrak{s u}(3)$ |
| $\mathcal{W}_{2}$ | $\tau_{0}=0, \tau_{1}=0, \tau_{3}=0$ | $A \in \operatorname{sym}_{+}^{0} \oplus \mathfrak{s u}(3)$ |
| $\mathcal{W}_{3}$ | $\tau_{0}=0, \tau_{1}=0, \tau_{2}=0$ | $A \in \operatorname{sym}_{-}^{0} \oplus \mathfrak{s u}(3)$ |
| $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3}$ | $\tau_{1}=0, \tau_{2}=0$ | $A \in \operatorname{sym}_{-}^{0} \oplus \mathbb{R} \cdot J \oplus \mathfrak{s u}(3)$ |
| $\mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3}$ | $\tau_{0}=0, \tau_{1}=0$ | $A \in \operatorname{sym}_{+}^{0} \oplus \operatorname{sym}_{-}^{0} \oplus \mathfrak{s u}(3)$ |
| $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3}$ | $\tau_{1}=0$ | $A \in \operatorname{sym}_{+}^{0} \oplus \operatorname{sym}_{-}^{0} \oplus \mathbb{R} \cdot J \oplus \mathfrak{s u}(3)$ |
| $\mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}$ | $\tau_{0}=0$ | $A \in \operatorname{sym}_{+}^{0} \oplus \operatorname{sym}_{-}^{0} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{s u}(3)$ |
| $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}$ | No vanishing condition | $A \in \operatorname{sym}_{+}^{0} \oplus \operatorname{sym}_{-}^{0} \oplus \mathbb{R} \cdot J \oplus \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{s u}(3)$ |

## The harmonicity of $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{A}, \varphi\right)$

The full torsion tensor of $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{A}, \varphi\right)$ is

$$
T=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
{[J, S(A)]+[J, C(A)]+\left(J A^{t}+A J\right)} & -J \alpha(A)^{\sharp} \\
\hline 0 & \operatorname{tr}(J A)
\end{array}\right)
$$

The Levi-Civita connection given by the left-invariant metric [Milnor, 1976] is:

$$
\nabla_{7} e_{7}=0, \quad \nabla_{i} e_{7}=-S(A)\left(e_{i}\right), \quad \nabla_{7} e_{i}=C(A)\left(e_{i}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \nabla_{i} e_{j}=\left\langle S(A)\left(e_{i}\right), e_{j}\right\rangle e_{7}
$$

where $i, j=1, \ldots, 6$.

## The harmonicity of $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{A}, \varphi\right)$

The full torsion tensor of $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{A}, \varphi\right)$ is

$$
T=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
{[J, S(A)]+[J, C(A)]+\left(J A^{t}+A J\right)} & -J \alpha(A)^{\sharp} \\
\hline 0 & \operatorname{tr}(J A)
\end{array}\right)
$$

The Levi-Civita connection given by the left-invariant metric [Milnor, 1976] is:

$$
\nabla_{7} e_{7}=0, \quad \nabla_{i} e_{7}=-S(A)\left(e_{i}\right), \quad \nabla_{7} e_{i}=C(A)\left(e_{i}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \nabla_{i} e_{j}=\left\langle S(A)\left(e_{i}\right), e_{j}\right\rangle e_{7}
$$

where $i, j=1, \ldots, 6$.

## Proposition [M. 2022]

The divergence of $T$ is

$$
\operatorname{div} T=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(A) J^{*} \alpha(A)+\frac{1}{2} \theta(C(A)) J^{*} \alpha(A)-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(A) \operatorname{tr}(J A) e^{7}
$$

## Theorem [M. 2022]

The almost Abelian Lie algebra with $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{A}, \varphi\right)$ is harmonic, if and only if,

$$
\operatorname{tr}(A) \operatorname{tr}(J A)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad J C(A) J\left(\alpha^{\sharp}\right)=-\operatorname{tr}(A) \alpha^{\sharp} .
$$

In particular, $\varphi$ is harmonic if its torsion belongs to one of the following classes:

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
\{0\}, & \mathcal{W}_{2}, & \mathcal{W}_{3}, & \mathcal{W}_{4}, \\
\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3}, & \mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}, & \mathcal{W}_{3} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}, \\
\mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3}, & \mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3} .
\end{array}
$$

Further, if $\varphi$ is of type $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}$ and div $T=0$, then $\varphi$ is of type $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3}$ or $\mathcal{W}_{3} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}$.

- The torsion classes $\{0\}, \mathcal{W}_{2}, \mathcal{W}_{3}, \mathcal{W}_{4}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3}$ are generically harmonic. Since $\tau_{0}$ is constant for Lie groups, then the torsion classes $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3}$ are also harmonic.
- The torsion classes $\{0\}, \mathcal{W}_{2}, \mathcal{W}_{3}, \mathcal{W}_{4}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3}$ are generically harmonic. Since $\tau_{0}$ is constant for Lie groups, then the torsion classes $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3}$ are also harmonic.
- The almost Abelian Lie algebras $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{A}, \varphi\right)$ whose $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure has torsion in the classes $\mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}$, and $\mathcal{W}_{3} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}$ are new examples of harmonic $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures. However, these new examples $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{A}, \varphi\right)$ do not admit a lattice.
- The torsion classes $\{0\}, \mathcal{W}_{2}, \mathcal{W}_{3}, \mathcal{W}_{4}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3}$ are generically harmonic. Since $\tau_{0}$ is constant for Lie groups, then the torsion classes $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3}$ are also harmonic.
- The almost Abelian Lie algebras $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{A}, \varphi\right)$ whose $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structure has torsion in the classes $\mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}$, and $\mathcal{W}_{3} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}$ are new examples of harmonic $\mathrm{G}_{2}$-structures. However, these new examples $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{A}, \varphi\right)$ do not admit a lattice.
- $\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}\right.$-structure with torsion in $\left.\mathcal{W}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{3} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{4}\right)$ For

$$
A e_{1}=A e_{2}=0, \quad A e_{3}=e_{5}, \quad A e_{4}=-e_{6}, \quad A e_{5}=-e_{3}, \quad A e_{6}=e_{4}
$$

we have
$\tau_{0}=0, \quad \tau_{1}=4 e^{2}, \quad \tau_{2}=-\frac{1}{3}\left(e^{36}+e^{45}-4 e^{17}\right) \quad$ and $\quad \jmath\left(\tau_{3}\right)=-4\left(e^{1} \otimes e^{7}+e^{7} \otimes e^{1}\right)$.
And $\alpha^{\sharp}=4 e_{2}$, since $J \alpha^{\sharp} \in \operatorname{ker} A$ then $\operatorname{div} T=0$.

## Many thanks

