

# Geometric flows of $G_2$ -structures

---

**Shubham Dwivedi**

Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin

**Workshop BRIDGES: Special geometries and gauge theories,  
University of Pau**

June 19, 2023

based on a work in progress, joint work with

Panagiotis Gianniotis (University of Athens)

&

Spiro Karigiannis (University of Waterloo)

Throughout this talk, we will be working on **7-dimensional manifolds**.

Throughout this talk, we will be working on **7-dimensional manifolds**. A  $G_2$ -structure on  $M^7$  is the reduction of the structure group of the frame bundle  $\text{Fr}(M)$  from  $\text{GL}(7, \mathbb{R})$  to the Lie group  $G_2 \leq \text{SO}(7)$ .

Throughout this talk, we will be working on **7-dimensional manifolds**. A  $G_2$ -structure on  $M^7$  is the reduction of the structure group of the frame bundle  $\text{Fr}(M)$  from  $\text{GL}(7, \mathbb{R})$  to the Lie group  $G_2 \leq \text{SO}(7)$ .

### Definition

Let  $M^7$  be a smooth manifold. A  $G_2$  structure on  $M$  is a 3-form  $\varphi$  which is nondegenerate.

## Introduction to $G_2$ -structures

Throughout this talk, we will be working on **7-dimensional manifolds**. A  $G_2$ -structure on  $M^7$  is the reduction of the structure group of the frame bundle  $\text{Fr}(M)$  from  $\text{GL}(7, \mathbb{R})$  to the Lie group  $G_2 \leq \text{SO}(7)$ .

### Definition

Let  $M^7$  be a smooth manifold. A  **$G_2$  structure** on  $M$  is a 3-form  $\varphi$  which is nondegenerate.

Given local coordinates  $x^1, \dots, x^7$  on  $M$ , define

$$B_{ij} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^7 = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \lrcorner \varphi \right) \wedge \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \lrcorner \varphi \right) \wedge \varphi$$

Throughout this talk, we will be working on **7-dimensional manifolds**. A  $G_2$ -structure on  $M^7$  is the reduction of the structure group of the frame bundle  $\text{Fr}(M)$  from  $\text{GL}(7, \mathbb{R})$  to the Lie group  $G_2 \leq \text{SO}(7)$ .

### Definition

Let  $M^7$  be a smooth manifold. A  **$G_2$  structure** on  $M$  is a 3-form  $\varphi$  which is nondegenerate.

Given local coordinates  $x^1, \dots, x^7$  on  $M$ , define

$$\underbrace{B_{ij}}_{\text{symmetric}} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^7 = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \lrcorner \varphi \right) \wedge \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \lrcorner \varphi \right) \wedge \varphi$$

Throughout this talk, we will be working on **7-dimensional manifolds**. A  $G_2$ -structure on  $M^7$  is the reduction of the structure group of the frame bundle  $\text{Fr}(M)$  from  $\text{GL}(7, \mathbb{R})$  to the Lie group  $G_2 \leq \text{SO}(7)$ .

## Definition

Let  $M^7$  be a smooth manifold. A  **$G_2$  structure** on  $M$  is a 3-form  $\varphi$  which is nondegenerate.

Given local coordinates  $x^1, \dots, x^7$  on  $M$ , define

$$\underbrace{B_{ij}}_{\text{symmetric}} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^7 = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \lrcorner \varphi \right) \wedge \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \lrcorner \varphi \right) \wedge \varphi$$

From this, define the symmetric bilinear form  $g_{ij}$  by

$$g_{ij} = -\frac{1}{6^{\frac{2}{9}}} \frac{B_{ij}}{\det(B)^{\frac{1}{9}}}$$

# Introduction to $G_2$ -structures

Throughout this talk, we will be working on **7-dimensional manifolds**. A  $G_2$ -structure on  $M^7$  is the reduction of the structure group of the frame bundle  $\text{Fr}(M)$  from  $\text{GL}(7, \mathbb{R})$  to the Lie group  $G_2 \leq \text{SO}(7)$ .

## Definition

Let  $M^7$  be a smooth manifold. A  **$G_2$  structure** on  $M$  is a 3-form  $\varphi$  which is nondegenerate.

Given local coordinates  $x^1, \dots, x^7$  on  $M$ , define

$$\underbrace{B_{ij}}_{\text{symmetric}} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^7 = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \lrcorner \varphi \right) \wedge \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \lrcorner \varphi \right) \wedge \varphi$$

From this, define the symmetric bilinear form  $g_{ij}$  by

$$g_{ij} = -\frac{1}{6^{\frac{2}{9}}} \frac{B_{ij}}{\det(B)^{\frac{1}{9}}}$$

$\varphi$  is nondegenerate  $\iff g_{ij}$  is a Riemannian metric.



$G_2$  structure  $\leftrightarrow$  "non-degenerate" 3-form  $\varphi \rightsquigarrow g_\varphi$  and orientation nonlinearly.

Thus, we have a Hodge star operator  $*_\varphi$  and dual 4-form  $*_\varphi\varphi = \psi$ .

$G_2$  structure  $\leftrightarrow$  "non-degenerate" 3-form  $\varphi \rightsquigarrow g_\varphi$  and orientation nonlinearly.

Thus, we have a Hodge star operator  $*_\varphi$  and dual 4-form  $*_\varphi \varphi = \psi$ .

### Definition

Let  $(M^7, \varphi)$  be a manifold with a  $G_2$  structure  $\varphi$  and let  $\nabla$  be the Levi-Civita connection of  $g_\varphi$ . We call  $(M, \varphi)$  a  **$G_2$  manifold** if  $\nabla \varphi = 0$ .  $\nabla \varphi$  is interpreted as the **torsion**  $T$  of the  $G_2$  structure.

$G_2$  structure  $\leftrightarrow$  "non-degenerate" 3-form  $\varphi \rightsquigarrow g_\varphi$  and orientation nonlinearly.

Thus, we have a Hodge star operator  $*_\varphi$  and dual 4-form  $*_\varphi\varphi = \psi$ .

### Definition

Let  $(M^7, \varphi)$  be a manifold with a  $G_2$  structure  $\varphi$  and let  $\nabla$  be the Levi-Civita connection of  $g_\varphi$ . We call  $(M, \varphi)$  a  **$G_2$  manifold** if  $\nabla\varphi = 0$ .  $\nabla\varphi$  is interpreted as the **torsion**  $T$  of the  $G_2$  structure.

$G_2$  manifolds, i.e., those having torsion-free  $G_2$  structure  $\varphi$  are always **Ricci-flat** and have special holonomy contained in the Lie group  $G_2 \subset SO(7)$ .

$G_2$  structure  $\leftrightarrow$  "non-degenerate" 3-form  $\varphi \rightsquigarrow g_\varphi$  and orientation nonlinearly.

Thus, we have a Hodge star operator  $*_\varphi$  and dual 4-form  $*_\varphi\varphi = \psi$ .

### Definition

Let  $(M^7, \varphi)$  be a manifold with a  $G_2$  structure  $\varphi$  and let  $\nabla$  be the Levi-Civita connection of  $g_\varphi$ . We call  $(M, \varphi)$  a  **$G_2$  manifold** if  $\nabla\varphi = 0$ .  $\nabla\varphi$  is interpreted as the **torsion**  $T$  of the  $G_2$  structure.

$G_2$  manifolds, i.e., those having torsion-free  $G_2$  structure  $\varphi$  are always **Ricci-flat** and have special holonomy contained in the Lie group  $G_2 \subset SO(7)$ .

**Aside:** In 1955, Berger classified all possible Riemannian holonomies. The exceptional case  $G_2$  was believed to not exist until seminal works by Bryant, Salamon, and Joyce, from 1987 to 1996.

## Introduction contd.

On  $(M, \varphi)$ ,  $k$ -forms decompose further according to the irreducible  $G_2$  representations.

## Introduction contd.

On  $(M, \varphi)$ ,  $k$ -forms decompose further according to the irreducible  $G_2$  representations.

In particular,

$$\Omega^2 = \Omega_7^2 \oplus \Omega_{14}^2, \quad \Omega^3 = \Omega_1^3 \oplus \Omega_7^3 \oplus \Omega_{27}^3.$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_7^2 &= \{X \lrcorner \varphi \mid X \in \Gamma(TM)\} = \{\beta \in \Omega^2 \mid *(\varphi \wedge \beta) = -2\beta\}, \\ \Omega_{14}^2 &= \{\beta \in \Omega^2 \mid *(\varphi \wedge \beta) = \beta\} = \{\beta \in \Omega^2 \mid \beta \wedge \psi = 0\}\end{aligned}$$

## Introduction contd.

On  $(M, \varphi)$ ,  $k$ -forms decompose further according to the irreducible  $G_2$  representations.

In particular,

$$\Omega^2 = \Omega_7^2 \oplus \Omega_{14}^2, \quad \Omega^3 = \Omega_1^3 \oplus \Omega_7^3 \oplus \Omega_{27}^3.$$

$$\Omega_7^2 = \{X \lrcorner \varphi \mid X \in \Gamma(TM)\} = \{\beta \in \Omega^2 \mid *(\varphi \wedge \beta) = -2\beta\},$$

$$\Omega_{14}^2 = \{\beta \in \Omega^2 \mid *(\varphi \wedge \beta) = \beta\} = \{\beta \in \Omega^2 \mid \beta \wedge \psi = 0\}$$

For  $\sigma \in \Omega^k$  and  $A = A_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j \in \mathcal{T}^2$ , we define

$$(A \diamond \sigma)_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} = A_{i_1}^p \sigma_{p i_2 \dots i_k} + A_{i_2}^p \sigma_{i_1 p i_3 \dots i_k} + \dots + A_{i_k}^p \sigma_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{k-1} p},$$

in particular  $(A \diamond \varphi)_{ijk} = A_i^p \varphi_{pjk} + A_j^p \varphi_{ipk} + A_k^p \varphi_{ijp}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{T}^2 \cong \Omega^0 \oplus S_0^2 \oplus \Omega_7^2 \oplus \Omega_{14}^2$ , it can be proved that

$$A \in \ker(\cdot \diamond \varphi) \iff A \in \Omega_{14}^2$$

$$A \mapsto A \diamond \varphi \text{ is an isomorphism between } S^2 \oplus \Omega_7^2 \text{ and } \Omega^3$$

Thus, we can describe the 3-forms as

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_1^3 &= \{f\varphi \mid f \in \Omega^0\}, & \Omega_7^3 &= \{A \diamond \varphi \mid A \in \Omega_7^2\} = \{X \lrcorner \psi \mid X \in \Gamma(TM)\}, \\ \Omega_{27}^3 &= \{A \diamond \varphi \mid A \in S_0^2\}\end{aligned}$$



Thus, we can describe the 3-forms as

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_1^3 &= \{f\varphi \mid f \in \Omega^0\}, & \Omega_7^3 &= \{A \diamond \varphi \mid A \in \Omega_7^2\} = \{X \lrcorner \psi \mid X \in \Gamma(TM)\}, \\ \Omega_{27}^3 &= \{A \diamond \varphi \mid A \in S_0^2\}\end{aligned}$$

For  $A \in \mathcal{T}^2$ , we set

$$(\mathcal{V}A)_k = A_{ij}\varphi^{ij}_k.$$

Only the  $\Omega_7^2$  part of  $A$  contributes to  $\mathcal{V}A$ , and we call it the vector part of  $A$ . In fact,

$$A_7 = \frac{1}{6}(\mathcal{V}A) \lrcorner \varphi.$$

Thus, we can describe the 3-forms as

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_1^3 &= \{f\varphi \mid f \in \Omega^0\}, & \Omega_7^3 &= \{A \diamond \varphi \mid A \in \Omega_7^2\} = \{X \lrcorner \psi \mid X \in \Gamma(TM)\}, \\ \Omega_{27}^3 &= \{A \diamond \varphi \mid A \in \mathcal{S}_0^2\}\end{aligned}$$

For  $A \in \mathcal{T}^2$ , we set

$$(\mathcal{V}A)_k = A_{ij}\varphi^{ij}_k.$$

Only the  $\Omega_7^2$  part of  $A$  contributes to  $\mathcal{V}A$ , and we call it the vector part of  $A$ . In fact,

$$A_7 = \frac{1}{6}(\mathcal{V}A) \lrcorner \varphi.$$

Thus on  $(M, \varphi)$ , any 3-form can be equivalently described by a pair  $(h, X)$  with  $h$  a symmetric 2-tensor and  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ . We will write

$$\gamma = (h \diamond \varphi) + X \lrcorner \psi.$$

for a 3-form  $\gamma$ .

- The torsion  $T$  is a 2-tensor and is explicitly given as

$$T_{pq} = \frac{1}{24} \nabla_{\rho} \varphi_{ijk} \psi_q^{ijk} \quad (\psi = *_{\varphi} \varphi)$$

- The torsion  $T$  is a 2-tensor and is explicitly given as

$$T_{pq} = \frac{1}{24} \nabla_{[p} \varphi_{ijk} \psi_q^{ijk} \quad (\psi = *_{\varphi} \varphi)$$

Since  $T \in \mathcal{T}^2$ , it can be further decomposed as  $T = T_1 + T_7 + T_{14} + T_{27}$ .

## Torsion of a $G_2$ -structure

- The torsion  $T$  is a 2-tensor and is explicitly given as

$$T_{pq} = \frac{1}{24} \nabla_p \varphi_{ijk} \psi_q^{ijk} \quad (\psi = * \varphi)$$

Since  $T \in \mathcal{T}^2$ , it can be further decomposed as  $T = T_1 + T_7 + T_{14} + T_{27}$ .

- $T$  satisfies a “Bianchi”-type identity

$$\nabla_i T_{jk} - \nabla_j T_{ik} = T_{ia} T_{jb} \varphi_a^{bk} + \frac{1}{2} R_{ijab} \varphi_k^{ab}.$$

We expect this as  $\phi^*(T_\varphi) = T_{\phi^*\varphi}$  for any diffeo.  $\phi$ . We **crucially** use this for some of our results.

## Torsion of a $G_2$ -structure

- The torsion  $T$  is a 2-tensor and is explicitly given as

$$T_{pq} = \frac{1}{24} \nabla_\rho \varphi_{ijk} \psi_q^{ijk} \quad (\psi = * \varphi)$$

Since  $T \in \mathcal{T}^2$ , it can be further decomposed as  $T = T_1 + T_7 + T_{14} + T_{27}$ .

- $T$  satisfies a “Bianchi”-type identity

$$\nabla_i T_{jk} - \nabla_j T_{ik} = T_{ia} T_{jb} \varphi_a^{bk} + \frac{1}{2} R_{ijab} \varphi_k^{ab}.$$

We expect this as  $\phi^*(T_\varphi) = T_{\phi^*\varphi}$  for any diffeo.  $\phi$ . We **crucially** use this for some of our results.

### Theorem (Fernández–Gray)

$(M, \varphi)$  is torsion-free, i.e.,  $T = 0$  ( $\iff \nabla \varphi = 0$ ) if and only if  $d\varphi = d\psi = 0$ .

Suppose  $(M^7, \varphi)$  is a compact manifold with a  $G_2$  structure.

Suppose  $(M^7, \varphi)$  is a compact manifold with a  $G_2$  structure.

**Ques.** What is the “best”  $G_2$  structure amongst all  $G_2$  structures on  $M$ ?



Suppose  $(M^7, \varphi)$  is a compact manifold with a  $G_2$  structure.

**Ques.** What is the “best”  $G_2$  structure amongst all  $G_2$  structures on  $M$ ?

**(Reasonable) Ans.** Torsion free  $G_2$  structures.

Suppose  $(M^7, \varphi)$  is a compact manifold with a  $G_2$  structure.

**Ques.** What is the “best”  $G_2$  structure amongst all  $G_2$  structures on  $M$ ?

**(Reasonable) Ans.** Torsion free  $G_2$  structures.

A **geometric flow** is an evolution equation which improves a geometric structure, starting from a given one.

Suppose  $(M^7, \varphi)$  is a compact manifold with a  $G_2$  structure.

**Ques.** What is the “best”  $G_2$  structure amongst all  $G_2$  structures on  $M$ ?

**(Reasonable) Ans.** Torsion free  $G_2$  structures.

A **geometric flow** is an evolution equation which improves a geometric structure, starting from a given one.

Given a  $G_2$  structure (not necessarily torsion-free), it is natural to attempt to improve it in some sense to a “better”  $G_2$  structure by using a geometric flow. (Compare: Ricci flow of metrics; mean curvature flow of immersions.)

## Flows of $G_2$ structures...a brief history

- General study of flows of  $G_2$  structures - Karigiannis, Q.J.M '09  
D.–Gianniotis–Karigiannis, '23
- Laplacian flow of **closed**  $G_2$  structures - Bryant, '05, Bryant–Xu, '11  
 $(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \Delta_\varphi \varphi, \quad d\varphi = 0)$  Lotay–Wei, GAFA, CAG, JDG '15
- Laplacian **co-flow** of co-closed  $G_2$  structures - Karigiannis–McKay–Tsui,  
 $(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\Delta_\varphi \psi, \quad d\psi = 0)$  DGA'12
- **Modified** Laplacian **co-flow** of co-closed  $G_2$  structures - Grigorian,  
Adv.Math'13
- Isometric Flow of  $G_2$ -structures- D.–Gianniotis–Karigiannis, '19,  
independently by Grigorian, '19  $\rightsquigarrow$  using the theory of Octonionic bundles and  
by Loubeau–Sá Earp, '19  $\rightsquigarrow$  harmonic flow of geometric structures.

## Flows of $G_2$ structures

Recall that on  $(M, \varphi)$ , any 3-form can be described by a pair  $(h, X)$ ,  $h \in S^2(TM)$ ,  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Thus, any flow of  $G_2$ -structures can be written as

$$\frac{\partial \varphi(t)}{\partial t} = (h(t) \diamond_t \varphi(t)) + X(t) \lrcorner \psi(t). \quad (\text{GF})$$

## Flows of $G_2$ structures

Recall that on  $(M, \varphi)$ , any 3-form can be described by a pair  $(h, X)$ ,  $h \in S^2(TM)$ ,  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Thus, any flow of  $G_2$ -structures can be written as

$$\frac{\partial \varphi(t)}{\partial t} = (h(t) \diamond_t \varphi(t)) + X(t) \lrcorner \psi(t). \quad (\text{GF})$$

**Facts:** Along (GF),  $\partial_t g(t) = 2h(t)$ ,  $\partial_t g(t)^{-1} = -2h(t)$ ,  $\partial_t \text{vol}_t = \text{tr } h(t) \text{vol}_t$ .

## Flows of $G_2$ structures

Recall that on  $(M, \varphi)$ , any 3-form can be described by a pair  $(h, X)$ ,  $h \in S^2(TM)$ ,  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Thus, any flow of  $G_2$ -structures can be written as

$$\frac{\partial \varphi(t)}{\partial t} = (h(t) \diamond_t \varphi(t)) + X(t) \lrcorner \psi(t). \quad (\text{GF})$$

**Facts:** Along (GF),  $\partial_t g(t) = 2h(t)$ ,  $\partial_t g(t)^{-1} = -2h(t)$ ,  $\partial_t \text{vol}_t = \text{tr } h(t) \text{vol}_t$ .

## Flows of $G_2$ structures

Recall that on  $(M, \varphi)$ , any 3-form can be described by a pair  $(h, X)$ ,  $h \in S^2(TM)$ ,  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Thus, any flow of  $G_2$ -structures can be written as

$$\frac{\partial \varphi(t)}{\partial t} = (h(t) \diamond_t \varphi(t)) + X(t) \lrcorner \psi(t). \quad (\text{GF})$$

**Facts:** Along (GF),  $\partial_t g(t) = 2h(t)$ ,  $\partial_t g(t)^{-1} = -2h(t)$ ,  $\partial_t \text{vol}_t = \text{tr } h(t) \text{vol}_t$ .

One possible approach to write the most general (and reasonable) flow of  $G_2$ -structures is to classify all linearly independent second order differential invariants of a  $G_2$ -structure (upto lower order terms) and then take a linear combination of those which can be made into a 3-form.



## Flows of $G_2$ structures

Recall that on  $(M, \varphi)$ , any 3-form can be described by a pair  $(h, X)$ ,  $h \in S^2(TM)$ ,  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Thus, any flow of  $G_2$ -structures can be written as

$$\frac{\partial \varphi(t)}{\partial t} = (h(t) \diamond_t \varphi(t)) + X(t) \lrcorner \psi(t). \quad (\text{GF})$$

**Facts:** Along (GF),  $\partial_t g(t) = 2h(t)$ ,  $\partial_t g(t)^{-1} = -2h(t)$ ,  $\partial_t \text{vol}_t = \text{tr } h(t) \text{vol}_t$ .

One possible approach to write the most general (and reasonable) flow of  $G_2$ -structures is to classify all linearly independent second order differential invariants of a  $G_2$ -structure (upto lower order terms) and then take a linear combination of those which can be made into a 3-form.

We use representation theoretic aspects of the Lie group  $G_2$  to decompose the [Riemann curvature tensor  \$Rm\$](#)  and  [\$\nabla T\$](#) .

## Flows of $G_2$ structures

Recall that on  $(M, \varphi)$ , any 3-form can be described by a pair  $(h, X)$ ,  $h \in S^2(TM)$ ,  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Thus, any flow of  $G_2$ -structures can be written as

$$\frac{\partial \varphi(t)}{\partial t} = (h(t) \diamond_t \varphi(t)) + X(t) \lrcorner \psi(t). \quad (\text{GF})$$

**Facts:** Along (GF),  $\partial_t g(t) = 2h(t)$ ,  $\partial_t g(t)^{-1} = -2h(t)$ ,  $\partial_t \text{vol}_t = \text{tr } h(t) \text{vol}_t$ .

One possible approach to write the most general (and reasonable) flow of  $G_2$ -structures is to classify all linearly independent second order differential invariants of a  $G_2$ -structure (upto lower order terms) and then take a linear combination of those which can be made into a 3-form.

We use representation theoretic aspects of the Lie group  $G_2$  to decompose the **Riemann curvature tensor  $Rm$**  and  $\nabla T$ .

### Definition

On  $(M, \varphi) \exists$  another Ricci-type tensor  $F$  given explicitly as

$$F_{jk} = R_{abcd} \varphi_j^{ab} \varphi_k^{cd} \underbrace{=}_{\text{symm. of } Rm} R_{cdab} \varphi_j^{ab} \varphi_k^{cd} = F_{kj}.$$

$\text{tr}(F) = -2R$ ,  $R = \text{scalar curvature}$ .  $F$  has another geometric interpretation.

## 2nd order differential invariants of $\varphi$ from Rm

The curvature decomposition is

$S^2(\Lambda^2) = S^2(\mathbf{7} \oplus \mathbf{14}) = S^2(\mathbf{7}) \oplus (\mathbf{7} \otimes \mathbf{14}) \oplus S^2(\mathbf{14})$  which can be further decomposed into irreducible  $G_2$ -representations as

$$(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{27}) \oplus (\mathbf{64} \oplus \mathbf{7} \oplus \mathbf{27}) \oplus (\mathbf{77} \oplus \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{27}).$$

## 2nd order differential invariants of $\varphi$ from Rm

The curvature decomposition is

$S^2(\Lambda^2) = S^2(\mathbf{7} \oplus \mathbf{14}) = S^2(\mathbf{7}) \oplus (\mathbf{7} \otimes \mathbf{14}) \oplus S^2(\mathbf{14})$  which can be further decomposed into irreducible  $G_2$ -representations as

$$(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{27}) \oplus (\mathbf{64} \oplus \mathbf{7} \oplus \mathbf{27}) \oplus (\mathbf{77} \oplus \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{27}).$$

However, it must be orthogonal to  $\Lambda^4 = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{7} \oplus \mathbf{27}$  by the first Bianchi identity. This cuts down the curvature to an element of

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{27}}_{\text{Ricci}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbf{27} \oplus \mathbf{64} \oplus \mathbf{77}}_{\text{Weyl}}.$$

That is, the Bianchi identity says that the  $\mathbf{7}$  part is zero, that the two  $\mathbf{1}$ 's are multiples of each other, and that the three  $\mathbf{27}$ 's reduce to just two independent  $\mathbf{27}$ 's. **Only the  $\mathbf{1}$  and the two  $\mathbf{27}$  components can be made into a 3-form.**

## 2nd order differential invariants of $\varphi$ from Rm

The curvature decomposition is

$S^2(\Lambda^2) = S^2(\mathbf{7} \oplus \mathbf{14}) = S^2(\mathbf{7}) \oplus (\mathbf{7} \otimes \mathbf{14}) \oplus S^2(\mathbf{14})$  which can be further decomposed into irreducible  $G_2$ -representations as

$$(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{27}) \oplus (\mathbf{64} \oplus \mathbf{7} \oplus \mathbf{27}) \oplus (\mathbf{77} \oplus \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{27}).$$

However, it must be orthogonal to  $\Lambda^4 = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{7} \oplus \mathbf{27}$  by the first Bianchi identity. This cuts down the curvature to an element of

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{27}}_{\text{Ricci}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbf{27} \oplus \mathbf{64} \oplus \mathbf{77}}_{\text{Weyl}}.$$

That is, the Bianchi identity says that the  $\mathbf{7}$  part is zero, that the two  $\mathbf{1}$ 's are multiples of each other, and that the three  $\mathbf{27}$ 's reduce to just two independent  $\mathbf{27}$ 's. Only the  $\mathbf{1}$  and the two  $\mathbf{27}$  components can be made into a 3-form.

Upshot: The only second order invariants from Rm which could appear for a flow of  $G_2$ -structures are:  $Rg$ ,  $Ric_0$  and  $W_{27}$ .

## 2nd order differential invariants of $\varphi$ from Rm

The curvature decomposition is

$S^2(\Lambda^2) = S^2(\mathbf{7} \oplus \mathbf{14}) = S^2(\mathbf{7}) \oplus (\mathbf{7} \otimes \mathbf{14}) \oplus S^2(\mathbf{14})$  which can be further decomposed into irreducible  $G_2$ -representations as

$$(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{27}) \oplus (\mathbf{64} \oplus \mathbf{7} \oplus \mathbf{27}) \oplus (\mathbf{77} \oplus \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{27}).$$

However, it must be orthogonal to  $\Lambda^4 = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{7} \oplus \mathbf{27}$  by the first Bianchi identity. This cuts down the curvature to an element of

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{27}}_{\text{Ricci}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbf{27} \oplus \mathbf{64} \oplus \mathbf{77}}_{\text{Weyl}}.$$

That is, the Bianchi identity says that the  $\mathbf{7}$  part is zero, that the two  $\mathbf{1}$ 's are multiples of each other, and that the three  $\mathbf{27}$ 's reduce to just two independent  $\mathbf{27}$ 's. **Only the  $\mathbf{1}$  and the two  $\mathbf{27}$  components can be made into a 3-form.**

**Upshot: The only second order invariants from Rm which could appear for a flow of  $G_2$ -structures are:  $Rg$ ,  $Ric_0$  and  $W_{27}$ .** Since

$$W_{27} = \frac{3}{92} F + \frac{3}{2 \cdot 115} Rg - \frac{3}{115} Ric_0$$

we'll use  $Rg$ ,  $Ric_0$  and  $F$ .

## 2nd order differential invariants of $\varphi$ from $\nabla T$

- In a similar way we can decompose  $\nabla T \in \Gamma(T^*M \otimes \mathcal{T}^2)$  into irreducible  $G_2$ -representations and look for those 2nd order differential invariants which can be made into a 3-form.

## 2nd order differential invariants of $\varphi$ from $\nabla T$

- In a similar way we can decompose  $\nabla T \in \Gamma(T^*M \otimes \mathcal{T}^2)$  into irreducible  $G_2$ -representations and look for those 2nd order differential invariants which can be made into a 3-form.
- However, not all invariants obtained from  $Rm$  and  $\nabla T$  are independent because these quantities are related by the  $G_2$ -Bianchi identity.



## 2nd order differential invariants of $\varphi$ from $\nabla T$

- In a similar way we can decompose  $\nabla T \in \Gamma(T^*M \otimes \mathcal{T}^2)$  into irreducible  $G_2$ -representations and look for those 2nd order differential invariants which can be made into a 3-form.
- However, not all invariants obtained from  $Rm$  and  $\nabla T$  are independent because these quantities are related by the  $G_2$ -Bianchi identity.

The  $G_2$ -Bianchi identity is

$$G_{qij} = \nabla_i T_{jq} - \nabla_j T_{iq} - T_{ia} T_{jb} \varphi_q^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} R_{ijab} \varphi_q^{ab}$$

$G_{qij}$  are the components of a tensor  $G \in \Gamma(T^*M \otimes \Lambda^2(T^*M))$ , because  $G_{qij}$  is skew in  $i, j \rightsquigarrow$  decomposed into two components  $G^7 + G^{14}$ , where  $G^k \in \Gamma(T^*M \otimes \Lambda_k^2(T^*M))$  for  $k = 7, 14$ . Using the decompositions

$$\mathbf{7} \otimes \mathbf{7} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{27} \oplus \mathbf{7} \oplus \mathbf{14} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{7} \otimes \mathbf{14} = \mathbf{64} \oplus \mathbf{27} \oplus \mathbf{7},$$

we can therefore decompose  $G = 0$  into seven independent relations.

Doing all these, we prove the following lemma.

### Lemma (D.–Gianniotis–Karigiannis, '23)

*Up to lower order terms, there are 6 independent 2nd order differential invariants which can be made into a 3-form. The choices are*

$$h = Ric_0, Rg, F, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}T}g \quad \text{and} \quad X = \operatorname{div} T, \operatorname{div} T^t.$$

*Note that  $\mathcal{V}T = \frac{1}{6}(T_7)\lrcorner\varphi$  and  $(\operatorname{div} T)_k = \nabla^i T_{ik}$ ,  $(\operatorname{div} T^t)_k = \nabla^i T_k^i$  are vector fields on  $M$ .*

### Lemma (D.–Gianniotis–Karigiannis, '23)

*Up to lower order terms, there are 6 independent 2nd order differential invariants which can be made into a 3-form. The choices are*

$$h = Ric_0, Rg, F, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}T}g \quad \text{and} \quad X = \operatorname{div} T, \operatorname{div} T^t.$$

*Note that  $\mathcal{V}T = \frac{1}{6}(T_7) \lrcorner \varphi$  and  $(\operatorname{div} T)_k = \nabla^i T_{ik}$ ,  $(\operatorname{div} T^t)_k = \nabla^i T_k^i$  are vector fields on  $M$ .*

These  $h$ 's and  $X$ 's appear in the first variation of the  $L^2$ -norm of the torsion components, i.e, in  $\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \int_M |T_i|^2 \operatorname{vol}$ ,  $i = 1, 7, 14, 27$ . The formulas are:

## Lemma (D.–Gianniotis–Karigiannis, '23)

Up to lower order terms, there are 6 independent 2nd order differential invariants which can be made into a 3-form. The choices are

$$h = Ric_0, Rg, F, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}T}g \quad \text{and} \quad X = \operatorname{div} T, \operatorname{div} T^t.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{V}T = \frac{1}{6}(T_7) \lrcorner \varphi$  and  $(\operatorname{div} T)_k = \nabla^i T_{ik}$ ,  $(\operatorname{div} T^t)_k = \nabla^i T_k^i$  are vector fields on  $M$ .

These  $h$ 's and  $X$ 's appear in the first variation of the  $L^2$ -norm of the torsion components, i.e, in  $\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \int_M |T_i|^2 \operatorname{vol}$ ,  $i = 1, 7, 14, 27$ . The formulas are:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_M |T_1|^2 \operatorname{Vol} &= \int_M h^{ip} ((\operatorname{tr} T)^2 g_{ip} - 2 \operatorname{tr} T T_{ip}) \operatorname{Vol} \\ &\quad - 2 \int_M X^p (\operatorname{tr} T (\mathcal{V}T)_p + (\operatorname{div} T^t)_p) \operatorname{Vol} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \int_M |T_7|^2 \text{Vol} &= \int_M 6h^{ip} \left[ (\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}T}g)_{ip} + Rg_{ip} + \text{tr}(T^2)g_{ip} - (\text{tr } T)^2 g_{ip} + T_{la} T_{jq} \psi^{lajq} g_{ip} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - |\mathcal{V}T|^2 g_{ip} - 4(T_{\text{skew}})_{is} T_p^s - 2T_{mn} T_{is} \psi^{mns} \right] \text{Vol} \\
&+ \int_M 6X^q \left[ -2(\text{div } T)_q + 2(\text{div } T^t)_q + 2\nabla_p T_{mn} \psi^{pmn} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 4(T_{\text{skew}})_{pq} \mathcal{V}T^p + 2(T^2)_{pn} \varphi_q^{pn} \right] \text{Vol}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \int_M |T_{14}|^2 \text{Vol} &= \int_M h^{ip} \left[ R_{ip} - \frac{11}{2} (\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}T}g)_{ip} - \frac{1}{4} F_{ip} - 6Rg_{ip} + 2(T^2)_{pi} - (T \circ T^t)_{ip} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \text{tr } TT_{pi} - \frac{1}{2} T_{ms} T_{nt} \varphi_i^{mn} \varphi_p^{st} - 2T_{km} (T_{\text{skew}})_{pq} \psi^{kmq} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 12T_{mn} T_{is} \psi^{mns} + 24(T_{\text{skew}})_{is} T_p^s + \frac{1}{2} |T|^2 g_{ip} - \frac{13}{2} \text{tr}(T^2) g_{ip} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 6(\text{tr } T)^2 g_{ip} + 6|\mathcal{V}T|^2 g_{ip} - 6T_{la} T_{jq} \psi^{ljq} g_{ip} \right] \text{Vol} \\
&- X^q \int_M \left[ 13(\text{div } T)_q - 13(\text{div } T^t)_q - 24(T_{\text{skew}})_{pq} (\mathcal{V}T)^p \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 13(T^2)_{pl} \varphi_q^{pl} - 12\nabla_p T_{mn} \psi^{pmn} \right] \text{Vol}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \int_M |T_{27}|^2 \text{Vol} &= \int_M h^{ip} \left[ R_{ip} + \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}} T \mathcal{G})_{ip} + \frac{1}{4} F_{ip} + \frac{1}{2} T_{ms} T_{nt} \varphi_i^{mn} \varphi_p^{st} - \frac{5}{7} \text{tr} T T_{pi} \right. \\
&\quad - 2 T_{km} (T_{\text{sym}})_{pq} \psi_i^{kmq} - (T \circ T^t)_{ip} + \frac{1}{2} |T|^2 g_{ip} + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(T^2) g_{ip} \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{7} (\text{tr} T)^2 g_{ip} \right] \text{Vol} \\
&\quad - \int_M X^q \left[ (\text{div} T)_q + \frac{9}{7} (\text{div} T^t)_q + T_{pl}^2 \varphi_q^{pl} + \frac{2}{7} \text{tr} T (\mathcal{V} T)_q \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{2}{7} (\mathcal{V} T)^p T_{qp} \right] \text{Vol}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \int_M |T_{27}|^2 \text{Vol} &= \int_M h^{ip} \left[ R_{ip} + \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}T} g)_{ip} + \frac{1}{4} F_{ip} + \frac{1}{2} T_{ms} T_{nt} \varphi_i^{mn} \varphi_p^{st} - \frac{5}{7} \text{tr} T T_{pi} \right. \\
&\quad - 2 T_{km} (T_{\text{sym}})_{pq} \psi_i^{kmq} - (T \circ T^t)_{ip} + \frac{1}{2} |T|^2 g_{ip} + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(T^2) g_{ip} \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{7} (\text{tr} T)^2 g_{ip} \right] \text{Vol} \\
&\quad - \int_M X^q \left[ (\text{div} T)_q + \frac{9}{7} (\text{div} T^t)_q + T_{pl}^2 \varphi_q^{pl} + \frac{2}{7} \text{tr} T (\mathcal{V}T)_q \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{2}{7} (\mathcal{V}T)^p T_{qp} \right] \text{Vol}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we are led to define the following family of flows of  $G_2$ -structures.

### [Flows of $G_2$ -structures]

Let  $(M^7, \varphi_0)$  be a compact manifold. The general flow of  $G_2$ -structures is the initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} &= (-\text{Ric} + a\mathcal{L}_{\nu}Tg + \beta F) \diamond \varphi + (b_1 \text{div } T + b_2 \text{div } T^t) \lrcorner \psi + \text{l.o.t.} \\ \varphi(0) &= \varphi_0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{GGF})$$

with  $a, \beta, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Remark:** We do not put any condition on  $\varphi$  (like  $d\varphi = 0$ ,  $d*\varphi = 0$  or isometric).



### [Flows of $G_2$ -structures]

Let  $(M^7, \varphi_0)$  be a compact manifold. The general flow of  $G_2$ -structures is the initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} &= (-\text{Ric} + a\mathcal{L}_{\nu T}g + \beta F) \diamond \varphi + (b_1 \text{div } T + b_2 \text{div } T^t) \lrcorner \psi + \text{l.o.t.} \\ \varphi(0) &= \varphi_0 \end{aligned} \tag{GGF}$$

with  $a, \beta, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Remark:** We do not put any condition on  $\varphi$  (like  $d\varphi = 0$ ,  $d*\varphi = 0$  or isometric).

### Special cases

- $a = \beta = b_1 = b_2 = 0$  gives the usual **Ricci flow of  $G_2$ -structures**. The analytic properties of this flow is well-understood, in particular, we have short-time existence and uniqueness, *a priori* estimates and a compactness theorem for solutions.

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = (-\text{Ric} + a\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}T}g + \beta F) \diamond \varphi + (b_1 \text{div } T + b_2 \text{div } T^t) \lrcorner \psi + \text{l.o.t.}$$

- $a = \beta = b_2 = 0$ ,  $b_1 = 1$  and no Ric term gives the **isometric/harmonic flow of  $G_2$ -structures**  $\rightsquigarrow$  **negative gradient flow of  $\varphi \mapsto \int_M |T|^2 \text{Vol}$  restricted to  $[[\varphi_0]]_{\text{iso}}$** . Analytic properties well-understood and we have a **monotonicity formula** and **entropy functional**.

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = (-\text{Ric} + a\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}T}g + \beta F) \diamond \varphi + (b_1 \text{div } T + b_2 \text{div } T^t) \lrcorner \psi + \text{l.o.t.}$$

- $a = \beta = b_2 = 0$ ,  $b_1 = 1$  and no Ric term gives the **isometric/harmonic flow of  $G_2$ -structures**  $\rightsquigarrow$  **negative gradient flow of  $\varphi \mapsto \int_M |T|^2 \text{Vol}$  restricted to  $[[\varphi_0]]_{\text{iso}}$** . Analytic properties well-understood and we have a **monotonicity formula** and **entropy functional**.
- $a = \beta = b_2 = 0$  gives the **Ricci flow coupled with the isometric flow of  $G_2$ -structures**. We prove short-time existence and uniqueness of solutions and *a priori* estimates.

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = (-\text{Ric} + a\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}T}g + \beta F) \diamond \varphi + (b_1 \text{div } T + b_2 \text{div } T^t) \lrcorner \psi + \text{l.o.t.}$$

- $a = \beta = b_2 = 0$ ,  $b_1 = 1$  and no Ric term gives the **isometric/harmonic flow of  $G_2$ -structures**  $\rightsquigarrow$  **negative gradient flow of  $\varphi \mapsto \int_M |T|^2 \text{Vol}$  restricted to  $[[\varphi_0]]_{\text{iso}}$** . Analytic properties well-understood and we have a **monotonicity formula** and **entropy functional**.
- $a = \beta = b_2 = 0$  gives the **Ricci flow coupled with the isometric flow of  $G_2$ -structures**. We prove short-time existence and uniqueness of solutions and *a priori* estimates.
- $a = -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\beta = 0$ ,  $b_1 = 1$ ,  $b_2 = 0$  so we have  $\partial_t \varphi = (-\text{Ric} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}T}g) \diamond \varphi + \text{div } T \lrcorner \psi + \text{l.o.t.}$   $\rightsquigarrow$  **negative gradient flow of  $\varphi \mapsto \int_M |T|^2 \text{Vol}$  on all  $G_2$ -structures**. Studied by Weiss–Witt (2012). We have short-time existence and uniqueness of solutions.

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = (-\text{Ric} + a\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}T}g + \beta F) \diamond \varphi + (b_1 \text{div } T + b_2 \text{div } T^t) \lrcorner \psi + \text{l.o.t.}$$

- $a = \beta = b_2 = 0$ ,  $b_1 = 1$  and no Ric term gives the **isometric/harmonic flow of  $G_2$ -structures**  $\rightsquigarrow$  **negative gradient flow of  $\varphi \mapsto \int_M |T|^2 \text{Vol}$  restricted to  $[[\varphi_0]]_{\text{iso}}$** . Analytic properties well-understood and we have a **monotonicity formula** and **entropy functional**.
- $a = \beta = b_2 = 0$  gives the **Ricci flow coupled with the isometric flow of  $G_2$ -structures**. We prove short-time existence and uniqueness of solutions and *a priori* estimates.
- $a = -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\beta = 0$ ,  $b_1 = 1$ ,  $b_2 = 0$  so we have  $\partial_t \varphi = (-\text{Ric} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}T}g) \diamond \varphi + \text{div } T \lrcorner \psi + \text{l.o.t.}$   $\rightsquigarrow$  **negative gradient flow of  $\varphi \mapsto \int_M |T|^2 \text{Vol}$  on all  $G_2$ -structures**. Studied by Weiss–Witt (2012). We have short-time existence and uniqueness of solutions.

What can we say about the short-time existence and uniqueness of solutions of (GGF) in general?

## Theorem (D.–Gianniotis–Karigiannis, '23)

Let  $(M, \varphi_0)$  be a compact 7-manifold with a  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi_0$ . Then there exists a unique  $\varphi(t)$ ,  $t \in [0, \varepsilon)$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \varphi(t)}{\partial t} &= (-\text{Ric} + a\mathcal{L}_{\nu T}g + \beta F) \diamond \varphi + (b_1 \text{div } T + b_2 \text{div } T^t) \lrcorner \psi \\ \varphi(0) &= \varphi_0,\end{aligned}$$

provided that  $0 \leq b_1 - a - 1 < 4$ ,  $b_1 + b_2 \geq 1$  and  $|\beta| < \frac{c}{4}$ , where  $c = 1 - \frac{1}{4}(b_1 - a - 1)$ .

## Theorem (D.–Gianniotis–Karigiannis, '23)

Let  $(M, \varphi_0)$  be a compact 7-manifold with a  $G_2$ -structure  $\varphi_0$ . Then there exists a unique  $\varphi(t)$ ,  $t \in [0, \varepsilon)$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \varphi(t)}{\partial t} &= (-\text{Ric} + a\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}T}g + \beta F) \diamond \varphi + (b_1 \text{div } T + b_2 \text{div } T^t) \lrcorner \psi \\ \varphi(0) &= \varphi_0,\end{aligned}$$

provided that  $0 \leq b_1 - a - 1 < 4$ ,  $b_1 + b_2 \geq 1$  and  $|\beta| < \frac{c}{4}$ , where  $c = 1 - \frac{1}{4}(b_1 - a - 1)$ .

### Idea of the proof:

- Let the RHS of (GGF) be  $P_\varphi$ . Calculate the principal symbols of the operators involved:  $\text{Ric}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}T}g$ ,  $F$ ,  $\text{div } T$ ,  $\text{div } T^t$ . It turns out that  $\dim \ker (\sigma(DP_\varphi)(h, X)) \geq 7$  because of diffeomorphism invariance of the tensors involved.

- We prove that  $\dim \ker (\sigma(DP_\varphi)(h, X)) = 7$  and hence the failure of parabolicity of (GGF) is only due to diffeomorphism invariance of the tensors involved. **Remark:** We needed to introduce a new operator to show this; we have  $B_1 : S^2(T_x^*M) \rightarrow T_x^*M$  with  $B_1(h)_k = \xi_a h^a_k - \frac{1}{2}\xi_k \operatorname{tr} h$  which is the usual *Bianchi operator* in the Ricci-flow. We introduce  $B_2 : T_x^*M \rightarrow T_x^*M$  by  $B_2(X)_k = \xi_a X_b \varphi^{ab}_k$  and use both of these.



- We prove that  $\dim \ker (\sigma(DP_\varphi)(h, X)) = 7$  and hence the failure of parabolicity of (GGF) is only due to diffeomorphism invariance of the tensors involved. **Remark:** We needed to introduce a new operator to show this; we have  $B_1 : S^2(T_x^*M) \rightarrow T_x^*M$  with  $B_1(h)_k = \xi_a h^a_k - \frac{1}{2} \xi_k \operatorname{tr} h$  which is the usual *Bianchi operator* in the Ricci-flow. We introduce  $B_2 : T_x^*M \rightarrow T_x^*M$  by  $B_2(X)_k = \xi_a X_b \varphi^{ab}_k$  and use both of these.
- Because of above, we can use the DeTurck's trick: look at the modified operator  $P_\varphi + \mathcal{L}_W \varphi$  with  $W \in \Gamma(TM)$  given by

$$W^k = g^{ij} \left( \Gamma_{ij}^k - \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k \right) - 2a \mathcal{V} T^k$$

where  $\bar{\Gamma}$  are the Christoffel symbols w.r.t. a fixed background  $G_2$ -structure, e.g.,  $\varphi_0$ .

- We prove that  $\dim \ker (\sigma(DP_\varphi)(h, X)) = 7$  and hence the failure of parabolicity of (GGF) is only due to diffeomorphism invariance of the tensors involved. **Remark:** We needed to introduce a new operator to show this; we have  $B_1 : S^2(T_x^*M) \rightarrow T_x^*M$  with  $B_1(h)_k = \xi_a h^a_k - \frac{1}{2} \xi_k \operatorname{tr} h$  which is the usual *Bianchi operator* in the Ricci-flow. We introduce  $B_2 : T_x^*M \rightarrow T_x^*M$  by  $B_2(X)_k = \xi_a X_b \varphi^{ab}_k$  and use both of these.
- Because of above, we can use the DeTurck's trick: look at the modified operator  $P_\varphi + \mathcal{L}_W \varphi$  with  $W \in \Gamma(TM)$  given by

$$W^k = g^{ij} \left( \Gamma_{ij}^k - \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k \right) - 2a \mathcal{V} T^k$$

where  $\bar{\Gamma}$  are the Christoffel symbols w.r.t. a fixed background  $G_2$ -structure, e.g.,  $\varphi_0$ .

- The symbol of  $P_\varphi + \mathcal{L}_W \varphi$  is a multiple of Id and hence we can prove short-time existence and uniqueness using DeTurck's trick.

- One can ask the same questions for general flows of Spin(7)-structures on 8-manifolds. This has been done by D. '23 and is an upcoming work.
- Find the flow with the “nicest” evolution of the torsion. This will involve the lower order terms as well. We have a “heat-type” equation for  $T$  along the negative gradient flow of  $\varphi \mapsto \int_M |T|^2 \text{Vol}$  functional ( $\beta = b_2 = 0, a = -\frac{1}{2}, b_1 = 1$  case).
- A monotone quantity, just like the case of the isometric flow and if possible, an entropy functional, “smallness” of which guarantees long time existence.
- Examples of solutions and solitons.
- Dynamical stability of torsion-free  $G_2$ -structures along the flows considered here (already done for the neg. grad. flow by Weiss–Witt (2012)).
- Applications of the flow to 3, 4 and 6 dimensions à la Fine–Yao, Lambert–Lotay and Picard–Suan.

Thank you for your attention.